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Abstract: To develop security critical web applications, specifying security requirements is important, since 75% to 80% of all attacks happen at the web application layer. We adopted security requirements engineering methods to identify security requirements at the early stages of software development life cycle so as to minimize vulnerabilities at the later phases. In this paper, we present the evaluation of Model Oriented Security Requirements Engineering (MOSRE) framework and Security Requirements Engineering Framework (SREF) by implementing the identified security requirements of a web application through each framework while developing respective web application. We also developed a web application without using any of the security requirements engineering method in order to prove the importance of security requirements engineering phase in software development life cycle. The developed web applications were scanned for vulnerabilities using the web application scanning tool. The evaluation was done in two phases of software development life cycle: requirements engineering and testing. From the results, we observed that the number of vulnerabilities detected in the web application developed by adopting MOSRE framework is less, when compared to the web applications developed adopting SREF and without using any security requirements engineering method. Thus, this study led the requirements engineers to use MOSRE framework to elicit security requirements efficiently and also trace security requirements from requirements engineering phase to later phases of software development life cycle for developing secure web applications.
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1. Introduction

Requirements engineering is the first phase of the Software Development Life Cycle (SDLC). In this phase the customer and developer come to an agreement about the software to be developed. This is a critical part of development and good requirements engineering is therefore essential for successful software system development [22]. Security requirements have received far less attention than general requirements [22]. We argue that security requirements should receive similar attention as business requirements. For instance, the security picture is complicated in web applications since they are often written in high-pressure environments on tight schedules by developers who have little or no security knowledge. Once development is complete, the applications are put through quality assurance testing that focuses on performance and functionality, rather than security. It’s no surprise, which Gartner reported in [5] that 75% of hacks happen at web sites target the application level than network, database and web server layers. Nowadays, the organizations, employees and customers prefer to do business online, and expect to be able to access a variety of information and transactions through web sites and services. As a result, web applications hold the treasure of data behind their front ends: like credit card numbers, health care records, confidential financial results, the list goes on. The attackers are well-aware of the valuable information accessible through web applications, and their attempts to get at it, who have figured out thousands of ways to penetrate web applications. Attacker exploit vulnerabilities to compromise the system, which is the weakness of web application or its environment in conjunction with an internal or external threat that lead to a security failure. This is due to that, the vulnerabilities are used rarely to elicit security requirements of web applications.

In recent years, software and government sectors are aware of security risks that vulnerabilities impose on the web applications and have started analyzing and reporting detected vulnerabilities in web applications. For instance, the Context Information Security, London, in a statistics [7], “Web Application Vulnerability Statistics 2010-2011” shows the average number of vulnerabilities identified within a web application affects various ranges of business sectors in 2010 and 2011. To solve these issues, we propose to adopt Security Requirements Engineering (SRE) framework in the early phases of SDLC and to elicit
security requirements for web applications. Though a variety of SRE approaches have been proposed by the researchers, they lack attention and support from the requirements engineers to elicit and specify security requirements. This is due to the lack of exposure and skills on SRE and thus security requirements are identified in the later phases of SDLC. As a solution, in this paper, we evaluate the performance of existing SRE frameworks such as Model Oriented Security Requirements Engineering (MOSRE) and Security Requirements Engineering Framework (SREF), and downside of not using SRE method, to recommend the requirements engineers for a better approach to elicit and specify security requirements. The remaining part of the paper is organised as follows: section 2 gives the related works to SRE methods. Section 3 presents the overview how MOSRE framework is applied to identify security requirements for a web application. Section 4, discusses about the implementation and evaluation of identified security requirements. The experimental setup is given in section 5. The analysis of experimental results in sections 6 and 7 presents with discussions. At last, section 8 concludes with future enhancement.

2. Related Works

The research community has looked into security issues of web applications with utmost care and brings method to incorporate security at various levels. The most accepted approach is to incorporate security in the software development cycle. Secure modeling and model driven development approach are becoming popular. The protection could be done at the network level, operating system level, database level or application level. As the web applications with vulnerabilities have been exploited by hackers, application scanning is the significant method to assess the security issues.

In this section, we discuss about various approaches and the significant work done for web application security. Jürjens [12] defines model security concepts and focus on the incorporation of incorporating security concerns during the SDLC. Jürjens [12] also explores the needs of developing secure-critical systems as there are many security weaknesses exploited. He proposes a systematic methodology to aid developing security-critical systems based on the Unified Modeling Language (UML). The extension of UML, UMLsec [12] allows expressing security-relevant information within the diagrams in a system specification. This UMLsec approach can be used in design phase to model security requirements with low level of abstraction.

Security analysis framework by Fu et al. [3] proposes a static approach to Structured Query Language (SQL) injection identification for the testing phase. The work proposes a framework that uses compile time vulnerability detection. Ismail et al. [8] discuss on the Cross-Site Scripting (XSS) vulnerability. The client side XSS are detected and solved in testing phase. Scott and Sharp [32] suggest some ways to protect web applications. They illustrated the difficulties in adding security to web applications and this approach is applied on coding level.

Many scanning tools are available to assess the vulnerabilities for web application in the testing phase. Kals and Kirda [13] discusses that many web application security vulnerabilities result from generic input validation problems. They presented SecuBat, a generic and modular web vulnerability scanner that analyzes web sites for exploitable SQL and XSS vulnerabilities. The SecuBat also has a crawling component to determine the doors of attack and attack plugins are used to detect them.

The Acunetix web vulnerability scanner is a commercial tool available to assess the vulnerability of applications and find how far a web application is vulnerable. In aspect oriented approach, security models can be designed separately and then weaved to web applications. Fuentes and Sanchez [4] introduces an approach that can be used to weave multiple aspects into the executable UML model. This work throws light on the design phase.

Model driven web application development approach enables secure web application design and code generation. Koch and Kraus in [16] propose a methodology for web application development. It uses UML as the base modeling language and defines stereotypes for modeling the domain specific aspects. They have given a very general approach to web application development and security issues. Model driven security for process oriented systems’ by Lodderstedt et al. [21] has shown how model driven paradigm can be adapted to introduce security. Secure UML [21] is used to specify the access control policies.

There are many requirements engineering methods [11] for web applications, but they are applicable for whole SDLC and consider security as one of the non-functional requirements. They also consider security analysis as the part of the design and implementation phase which results in system failures.

From the survey, we understand that very few works have been done on SRE for web applications. Since web applications are more prone to vulnerabilities and failures, good requirements analysis and specification should be developed to solve the security issues. This lead us a motivation and frame our hypothesis that “security requirements for web application should be elicited and analyzed in the early phase of SDLC and to be considered as functional requirements”.

Extensive work has been carried out on security requirements during the last few years, and there are
several works that deal with security requirements [25] in the early stages of the development life cycle. Security Quality Requirements Engineering Methodology (SQUARE) [23] is a model made up of nine steps in which it provides a means of eliciting, categorizing and prioritizing security requirements for information technology systems and applications. The evaluation of SQUARE was conducted in [34] on the advanced metering infrastructure of the smart grid as a case study. The effectiveness of SQUARE with respect to its ability to elicit a set of artifacts, threats, and vulnerabilities; to perform likelihood, impact analysis, and risk level determination; and to elicit, categorize, and prioritize the security requirements are evaluated [34]. SQUARE methodology is better useful to assess the quality and document the elicited security requirements rather to elicit security requirements.

In SREF [6], security requirements were defined as constraints over functional requirements by Haley et al [6]. SREF consists of 4 steps which are executed in iterations. They consider context as an important factor having a deep effect on security requirements. The framework is one of the recent SRE methods which have four activities performed in iteration. They are:

- **Stage 1**: Identify Functional Requirements.
- **Stage 2**: Identify Security Goals.
- **Stage 3**: Identify Security Requirements.
- **Stage 4**: Verification of the System.

These steps are discussed in detail in [6], which has been implemented to elicit security requirements of a web application in [29]. The results were taken as a reference to perform our evaluation of SRE frameworks in this paper. We found many limitations within this framework they lack in risk analysis, categorizing and prioritizing threats and vulnerabilities, the artifacts are very complex to the developers and not suitable to elicit security requirements of a web application.

MOSRE [30] activities are partially based on steps of SQUARE. MOSRE is framework with steps to identify assets, threats and risks for the establishment of security requirements in the development of secure web applications and whose focus seeks to build security concepts in the early phases of the development life cycle. Basically, this framework describes to express security requirements as use cases, and threats expressed as misuse cases. MOSRE framework has 16 steps to elicit security requirements, they are:

- **Step 1**: Identify the Objective of the Software Systems.
- **Step 2**: Identify the Stakeholders.
- **Step 3**: Identify the Assets.
- **Step 4**: Select an Elicitation Technique.
- **Step 5**: High level of Architecture Diagram.
- **Step 6**: Elicit Non-Security goals and Requirements.
- **Step 7**: Generate Use Cases Diagram.
- **Step 8**: Identify the Security Goals / Objectives.
- **Step 9**: Identify Threats and Vulnerabilities.
- **Step 10**: Risk Assessment.
- **Step 11**: Categorize and Prioritize the Threats and Vulnerabilities for mitigation.
- **Step 12**: Generate Misuse Cases Diagram.
- **Step 13**: Identify Security Requirements.
- **Step 14**: Generate Use Cases Diagram considering Security Requirements.
- **Step 15**: Generate Structural Analysis models.
- **Step 16**: Develop UML diagrams.

In context to suggest a better method for identifying security requirements of web applications to the requirements engineers’ three web applications were developed, first web application by adopting MOSRE, second with SREF and third without using any SRE method. The developed web applications were scanned for number of vulnerabilities as an attempt to assess the performance of SRE frameworks and prove the importance of SRE phase.

### 3. Application of the MOSRE FrameWork

In this Section, a practical example of how MOSRE framework can be used in the elicitation and analysis phases for identifying security requirements for Electronic-voting (E-voting) system. The E-voting systems are highly sensitive in nature, and they are a prime target for biasing the results of an election. E-voting systems might be tempted to exploit any software vulnerability in these systems to break the integrity and secrecy of ballots. Some of the current E-voting systems suffer from exploitation of vulnerabilities [1, 10, 28].

These voting systems are highly dependent on the security of the software and therefore they are vulnerable to any flaw in the security requirements analysis and design. Web-based voting are providing organizations with more flexibility to conduct their internal elections which can be extended to political elections. For deploying E-voting systems, web technologies can be employed to protect systems on the server side. However, such technologies are limited on the client side which may make systems vulnerable to different attacks. The impact of both server and client security on the confidentiality, integrity and availability of the E-voting systems must be carefully considered. Conducting elections for public over the Internet raises grave security risks.

The E-voting system needs to maintain both, integrity of the election result and secrecy of the voters’ choices; it must remain available on the network, and serve voters connecting from untrusted clients. Many security researchers have found different threats to E-voting [15, 17], others have proposed systems and protocols that may be solutions someday
[20, 28]. Analyzing the security requirements in E-voting is an essential task to guarantee adequate security levels, as threats and vulnerabilities may not only derive from pitfalls in the electronic systems, but also from the web applications. These reasons motivated us to take an E-voting system as the case study to apply MOSRE framework and the overview of the application [31] to E-voting is presented in this section.

The objective of the E-voting system is a system in which the election data is recorded, stored and processed primarily as digital information. E-voting system development should be based on the multilateral view of the stakeholders, so we should include people from the voters’ community, the candidate, security experts, election officers, government representatives, developers and requirements engineering team. The business assets are voters and candidates’ details, votes, voter’s credentials, voters secret, the number of votes casted for each candidate and the system assets are application software, database, network, server, web voters systems.

The brainstorming technique can be used for elicitation of requirements for the E-voting system. With the objective we can identify the number of tiers in the applications. A rough architecture diagram can be drawn with high level of abstraction. Network or hierarchical style of architecture can be chosen based on the application domain. The high level of architecture diagram [31] shown in the Figure1 for E-voting system is obtained to analyze the data flow and the entry points to the system.

![Architecture Diagram of E-voting System](image)

Figure 1. High level of architecture diagram for E-voting.

The next step is to elicit non-security goals and requirements. The following are some of the requirements for the E-voting system collected from the stakeholders.

1. **Vote Casting:**
   - The voter must identify themselves in order to vote.
   - All possible choices must be displayed for the voters.
   - Record the selection of individual vote choices for each contest. Indicate that a selection has been made or canceled.
   - Notify the voter when the selection is completed.
   - Before the ballot is cast, the voter is allowed to review his choices and, if he desires, to delete or change his choices before the ballot is cast.
   - Prevent the voter from changing his casted vote.

2. **Ballots Tally:**
   - Vote tally will occur once the polls have officially closed.
   - Check for total votes for each candidate.

The requirements (i.e., business requirements) are categorized as essential and nonessential requirements and prioritized according to the stakeholder’s preference. After the business requirements are gathered; for better understanding the use case modeling [31] of the applications should be developed. The security goals / security objectives can be identified with respect to assets, business goals and organizational principles of the organization. The authentication, confidentiality, integrity, availability, accuracy, anonymity democracy, and auditability are some of the security goals identified with the help of the stakeholders to elicit the security requirements for the system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. List of threats to E-voting system.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authentication Token from the smart card can be forged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A malware accesses to the selected voting options at the Voter PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voter Impersonation and Vote Casting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Man-in-the-Middle Voter Contest Modification between the electoral roll service and the authentication service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A malware modifies the client application at the Voter PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authentication Server redirection to a fake Electoral Roll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Man-in-the-middle- Ballot template modification between voter and voting servers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A malware modifies the voting options at the Voter PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of Vote while storing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denial of Service attack over the Voting Platform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrypted storage of votes modification at Counting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled environment Authentication Token reply attack</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The threats and vulnerabilities to the applications can be identified with the identified assets, business goals and security goals. The stakeholders and the high level architectural diagram identify the threats and vulnerabilities for the E-voting system at network, application and database levels. The list of threats and vulnerabilities can also be gathered and identified for E-voting system from the standards like Online Web Application Security Projects (OWASP) [27], National Vulnerability Database (NVD) [19, 24] and Web Application Security Consortium (WASC) [35]. Table 1 shows some of the identified threats from [31] for the E-voting system.

The next step is to assess and determine the risk when the threats and vulnerabilities occur. The impact of threats and vulnerabilities are analysed and risk determination process is carried out. Risk assessment
can be performed using various techniques such as attack trees [14] and anti-models [2]. In [31] Microsoft method of risk analysis for the E-voting system was performed by the authors. The threats and vulnerabilities can be categorized with respect to the security goals and security policies of the organization. They can be prioritized based on the level of security and value of the assets.

The detailed set of misuse case diagram [9] of the web applications should be developed that encompass the most significant threats to the system e.g., tamper misuse case, unauthorized users misuse case. The security requirements for E-voting system is identified based on the business and system assets, which are the countermeasures implemented with the applications. This process is repeated for a certain number of iterations based on the level of security to be achieved.

The security requirements are gathered; for better understanding, the use case diagram of the applications that encompasses the security requirements of the system is generated. The security requirements can be categorized with the security goals. Some of the identified Security Requirements (SR) is shown in the Table 2 for the E-voting system.

The functional requirements considering security requirements, the use case diagrams considering security requirements and the UML diagrams for the E-voting system is generated with high level of abstraction. The steps of MOSRE can be repeated for iterations in the elaboration phase of security requirements engineering and low level of abstraction models can be obtained.

Table 2. Security requirements for E-voting system.

| SR 1 | It should not be possible to insert, delete or modify any votes without authorization in the E-voting system. |
| SR 2 | It should be ensured that the E-voting system presents an authentic ballot to the voter. |
| SR 3 | The solution for voting in an uncontrolled environment should issue a message to inform the voter whether the vote has been successfully cast. |
| SR 4 | The E-voting system should provide the e-voter with ‘end-to-end’ proof that the casted vote is received and recorded. |
| SR 5 | The E-voting system should ensure that the voter’s choice is accurately represented in the vote and that the sealed vote is successfully stored. |
| SR 6 | To allow for a delay in messages when passing over the election channel, the acceptance of electronic votes into the E-voting system should remain open for a configurable period of time after the end of the polling phase. |
| SR 7 | The voter can vote at any time up to the point of vote casting, abort his/polling process without losing his right to vote due to timeout or errors during communication. |
| SR 8 | A voter should only be able to vote in contests that he/she is entitled to vote in. |
| SR 9 | The E-voting components of the E-voting system should be configurable to authenticate for contest, vote and session. |
| SR 10 | The voter authentication should expire after an idle period. The length of the idle-time out period should be configurable. |
| SR 11 | The E-voter’s decision or the display of the e-voter’s choice should be destroyed after the vote has been cast. |
| SR 12 | It should not be possible during transfer in the network, or between system modules, to alter, delete or add vote records undetected. |

4. Outcomes of Undertaking SRE

The methodology we used for evaluating MOSRE framework is by implementing the web application with the identified security requirements and also implementing the web application using SREF and without using any of the security requirements engineering methods. We scanned three systems for vulnerabilities using the web vulnerability scanning tool and compared the results. This method of evaluation will help us to prove that security requirements should be given equal importance like business requirements and security requirements must be considered as functional requirements. It also proves that they should be analyzed in the early phases of the software development life cycle and not at the time of design or coding.

In this Section, we discuss about a part of the security requirements implemented for an E-voting system. First we discuss on the authentication and access control security mechanisms for the web application. Next we give a view on other security mechanisms adopted for E-voting system environment.

4.1. Implementation of Identified Security Requirements

We implemented the security requirements identified by MOSRE framework for E-voting system into a software web application system. For implementation we used the Java/Java 2 Platform Enterprise Edition (J2EE) technology in windows platform. The server used was Apache Tomcat Server and oracle for database. The Acunetix web vulnerability scanner was used to scan the vulnerability.

The security requirements with the business requirements were implemented using encryption standards: Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) for managing the data in and out of the database and the data are in encrypted form. The encryption and decryption Java class are isolated from the web application.

4.2. Authentication and Access Control

In an E-voting system authentication and access control is the most important security objectives since it affects the democracy of the country. In order to satisfy the security requirements like “secure votes” and to “avoid duplicate votes”, the authentication should be provided based on the roles of the user. For example, if the actor of the system is a voter then he is provided with a single time authentication password to cast vote. The J2EE Servlet filter is one of the web modules in J2EE technology. It can intercept the request and responses on the website. It checks data transmitted between voter client and the server. The voter authentication can be realized after the user inputs his username and one time password.

We used J2EE Servlet filter to filter the user request and only the legitimate voter can login and access the required web pages to cast vote. The password
Cracking is not possible because the login page is not available for others who are on the network. Only the election officer will be provided rights for accessing the register page, and only a particular system will be able to access this page, by this we mean that the authority for accessing the page is only the person on the server machine.

The username and password for the election officer is stored in encrypted form and he is forced to change the password periodically. The username and password given by the election officer are taken into the Servlet and they are encrypted and then it has to be checked in the database by using prepared statement class in Java for avoiding SQL injection. If any of the unauthorized users access the server system they will be displayed with the error message and if the session is expired or caught, the login page automatically redirects to the index page. The parameters from the login page will be processed by the loginServlet and based on the access rights, i.e., the access control and the client is returned with corresponding pages.

4.3. Other Security Mechanisms for E-voting System

The malicious code injected will not be capable of executing, since our web pages are running in the JVM (Java Virtual Machine). To avoid cross site scripting all the input boxes are having maxlength attribute according to the category. The number of characters to be placed in the input box is already defined and input validation is done by Servlets. Firewalls can be the effective method to protect the system from network threats at the same time it provides access to the networks and the internet. The ability of the single web server for the E-voting system is limited since massive users access the application at the same time and to avoid server breakdown we have to provide with multi-servers.

We use oracle as back-end for security, as it integrates security mechanisms into its database management system. Since we have sensitive data and oracle controls to prevent the unauthorized access. It has high quality backup and recovery management. It also guarantees Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, Durability (ACID) properties of data.

The traceability matrix is used to trace the identified security requirements from the requirements engineering phase to the implementation phase, i.e. to trace security requirements to the security mechanism. Table 3 gives the traceability matrix for the security mechanism implemented for E-voting system for some of the important security requirements given in Table 2. The security requirements are categorized under standard security goals namely Confidentiality (C), Integrity (I) and Availability (A) and tabulated in Table 3. These are the some of the security mechanisms we used to achieve the security requirements given in the Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Security Requirements with Security Goals</th>
<th>Security Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Authentication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Authorization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Access Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cryptography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 1 - C, I</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 2 - A</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 3 - C</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 4 - I</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 5 - C</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 6 - A</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 7 - A, I</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 8 - I</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 9 - A, C</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 10 - I, A</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 11 - C</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 12 - C, I</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the next section, the experimental setup is discussed to evaluate how far MOSRE framework is feasible and effective to adopt in the security requirements engineering phase for the development of secure web application.

5. Experimental Setup

The evaluation was conducted with 30 participants, who were academic and industry professionals. They were divided into three groups and each group developed E-voting software system by implementing the security requirements specified by using SREF and MOSRE frameworks and without using any SRE methods respectively.

In order to observe the feasibility of MOSRE framework for eliciting security requirements of web applications and to adopt in the SRE phase, the evaluation of MOSRE and SREF frameworks was conducted in two phases namely, requirements engineering and testing phases of SDLC.

- Comparative analysis of effectiveness of MOSRE in identifying the vulnerabilities in requirements engineering phase.

The evaluation method used was to find the number of vulnerabilities identified in each category of vulnerability given in the Table 4 for each E-voting system by following the SRE methods such as SREF and MOSRE, and without using any SRE methods respectively. Table 4 lists the important category of vulnerability such as XSS, authentication, authorization, Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF), etc., of web application.

Each vulnerability category has a number of sub-vulnerabilities, for example authentication has sub-vulnerabilities such as no password change after first login, password reset mechanism weakness, no logout
functionality, mixing personalization with authentication, storing clear text credentials in configuration files, etc.

Table 4. List of vulnerability categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vulnerability Categories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer Overflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Path</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authentication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authorization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQL Injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Leakage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSRF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denial of Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These vulnerability categories can be used to identify vulnerability in the web application being developed, in order to solve and countermeasure it by identifying security requirements.

- Comparative analysis of performance of MOSRE by the vulnerabilities found in testing phase.

The methodology used was to calculate the percentage of vulnerabilities detected in each vulnerability category in the applications implemented by the participants in different groups using a web vulnerability scanning tool. If the percentage of vulnerabilities detected is less, then the performance is high.

This method of evaluation will help to prove that security requirements should be given equal importance similar to business requirements and must be considered as functional requirements. It will also prove that security requirements should be analyzed in the early phases of the SDLC and not at the time of design or coding.

6. Result Analysis

The result analysis was performed in two phases: first in the requirements engineering phase and second in testing phase of SDLC.

- Effectiveness comparison of MOSRE in requirements engineering phase.

The primary aim is to evaluate and analyze the deliverable of requirements engineering phase i.e. the Security Requirements Specification (SRS) after the application of different SRE methods to the E-voting system. From the SRS, the vulnerabilities identified in web application are classified under vulnerability categories which are based on the standards given in NVD by National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), OWASP, and WASC.

Figure 2 shows the effectiveness in identifying vulnerabilities during requirements engineering phase by applying existing and proposed SRE methods to E-voting system respectively. It is observed that more number of vulnerability is identified by adopting MOSRE framework than any other SRE methods, which can be solved by identifying the security requirements with respect to business requirements.

Figure 2. Effectiveness of MOSRE in requirements engineering phase.

MOSRE adopted group-conducted iterations to find more number of vulnerabilities in the web application. The SREF group, even though they proceeded with iterations, they failed to identify more vulnerability, since they lack in vulnerability identification and risk analysis, which are the important activities of SRE.

The group without using SRE methods did not identify the threats and vulnerabilities in the requirements engineering phase since they consider them only at the time of design and coding. Therefore, the SRS developed without using SRE methods have identified less number of vulnerabilities.

- Performance comparison of MOSRE in testing phase.

In the second phase of the evaluation, the percentage of vulnerabilities present in each web application was calculated using a web application scanning tool. Figure 3 shows the chart for the percentage of vulnerabilities detected during testing.

It is observed that for the MOSRE-based E-voting system, the percentage of vulnerabilities detected was less than other applications developed with other SRE methods. There by the performance of MOSRE is high and chart proves the hypothesis that “If security requirements for software systems are considered as functional requirements and elicited in the early phase of SDLC then vulnerabilities can be minimized than the software system developed without SRE phase”.

The level of security can be increased by debugging the errors and the vulnerabilities identified during testing phase and secure E-voting system can be developed.
The security levels can be improved based on business criticality, and as given by NIST. The assurance level standards for security are:

b. High for exploitation causes serious brand damage and financial loss with long-term business impact.
c. Medium for applications connected to the internet that process financial or private customer information.
d. Low for typical internal applications with non-critical business impact.
e. Very low for applications with no material business impact.

The relative cost to fix vulnerabilities and threats at requirements engineering is very minimal because the good software requirements specification is the base for developing error free application systems. If vulnerabilities and threats are identified earlier, they can be mitigated by security requirements and traced to later phases of SDLC.

The overhead of the developers is reduced, since security requirements are specified in the requirements engineering phase. They also need only less rework to do after testing or deployment phase, since the system developed will be less prone to vulnerabilities. Moreover, the cost of fixing the vulnerabilities and threats is 900-1000 times higher after deployment of the web application. This includes only development charges of the web application and not the business and customer’s loss due to poor security requirements analysis.

As given by Mouratidis and Jürjens [26] mistakes, i.e. not analyzing security requirements in early software process, can have far reaching consequences in subsequent stages that are difficult and costly to remedy. Therefore, it is best to analyze and specify security requirements in the requirements engineering phase by giving high priorities to security requirements.

From the experimental results given in Figures 2 and 3, it can be inferred that:

1. MOSRE has improved the identification of assets, threats and vulnerabilities in the requirements engineering phase;
2. MOSRE has improved in vulnerabilities identification, by an average of 50% compared to SREF at requirements engineering phase.
3. MOSRE show less percentage of vulnerabilities detected in the testing phase, thereby the performance of MOSRE increased by 47% compared to SREF.

7. Discussion

Our experiences in using MOSRE framework outlined in this paper show potential output than SREF and without using SRE methods. Since security experts involve in security requirements engineering phase to identify the security requirements which will reduce the overhead of the developers to have security knowledge. It integrates the security requirements specification techniques such as UML, security use cases and misuse cases.

MOSRE is easy to use and the framework involves stakeholders to identify security requirements. The framework analyses and prioritizes the assets, threats and vulnerabilities related to business and system, but these steps are lacking with SREF and are very complex to adopt to elicit security requirements. In MOSRE, the business requirements are considered for eliciting security requirements, because it helps to find the conflicts and resolve them between business and security requirements. Moreover, MOSRE framework is a model based approach and can be used to develop secure web application and it is based on the concept of iterative software construction of the requirements engineering process.

8. Conclusions

The security requirements play an important role in developing secure web applications but recent research is on security mechanisms [33] rather than security requirements. The security requirements have to be given equal importance as business requirements.

We need a method to elicit and analyze security requirements for secure web application development. At the same time we need to have a complete, clear security requirements specification that can be used by the developers without the help of security experts. As it is given in [18], good requirements specification document should include both functional and non-functional requirements.

In this context, to suggest a better method for the requirements engineers to adopt for eliciting and
specifying security requirements, the SRE methods such as MOSRE and SREF were evaluated. The specifications were compared with respect to number of vulnerabilities identified. Since, the vulnerabilities help to identify the security requirements efficiently. To study the performance of MOSRE, the identified security requirements has been implemented with the web application and scanned for vulnerabilities.

To justify the importance of SRE phase in SDLC, a web application was also developed without adopting any SRE methods. The results were promising that, little vulnerability detected in MOSRE adopted web application. Finally in this study, the security requirements were traced to the security mechanism to confirm that security requirements are carried from requirements engineering phase to the implementation phase. From the evaluation, it is found that, MOSRE helps the requirements engineers to engrave specification for security requirements effectively than SREF and SRE phase should be included in the early phases of SDLC.

MOSRE can be extended for other aspects of security such as trust and privacy. It can also be extended to support risk analysis and management, since it is another broader area of research. It would be beneficial to a project, if test cases could be automatically generated from specified security requirements.
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