An Efficient Approach for Mining Frequent Item sets with Transaction Deletion Operation
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Abstract: Deletion of transactions in databases is common in real-world applications. Developing an efficient and effective mining algorithm to maintain discovered information is thus quite important in data mining fields. A lot of algorithms have been proposed in recent years, and the best of them is the pre-large-tree-based algorithm. However, this algorithm only rebuilds the final pre-large tree every deleted transactions. After that, the FP-growth algorithm is applied for mining all frequent item sets. The pre-large-tree-based approach requires twice the computation time needed for a single procedure. In this paper, we present an incremental mining algorithm to solve above issues. An itemset tidset-tree structure will be used to maintain large and pre-large item sets. The proposed algorithm only processes deleted transactions for updating some nodes in this tree, and all frequent item sets are directly derived from the tree traversal process. Experimental results show that the proposed algorithm has good performance.
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1. Introduction

Mining Frequent Item sets (FIs) is the most important task in mining association rules [1, 2, 16, 18, 19, 20]. A lot of algorithms for mining FIs have been proposed. Some of famous ones are Apriori [1, 17], FP-growth [4], Eclat [22] and so on. All Apriori, FP-growth and Eclat algorithms use batch mining. In real-world applications, transactions are commonly inserted or deleted or updated [3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13]. Therefore, designing an efficient algorithm for the maintenance of association rules as databases change is critically important. The first incremental mining algorithm was the Fast-Update (FUP) algorithm [3]. Like Apriori-based algorithms, FUP generates candidates and repeatedly scans the database, although it avoids a lot of unnecessary checking. After that, Hong et al. [6] proposed the pre-large concept to further reduce the need for rescanning the original database. This algorithm does not require the original database to be rescanned until a number of new transactions have been inserted. The maintenance cost is thus reduced with the pre-large concept.

Many pre-large-based algorithms for handling of inserted transactions have been proposed. Some of ones are Pre-FUF [15], Pre-FUIT [12]. In addition to, transaction insertion, transaction deletion from databases is also commonly seen in real-applications. A lot of algorithms have been proposed in recent years, and the best of them is pre-large tree maintenance algorithm [14]. However, it only rebuilds the final pre-large tree every deleted transactions. After that, the FP-growth must be used to mine all FIs [4]. In fact, original large item sets are derived from the pre-large tree of the original database. So, the pre-large tree maintenance algorithm does not utilize the item sets which have been mined from the original database.

The aim of this paper is to present an algorithm for handling of deleted transactions based on the concept of pre-large item sets. Pre-large item sets are defined by using lower and upper support thresholds. It does not require the original database to be rescanned until a number of transactions have been deleted. The proposed algorithm uses the Item set Tidset-tree (IT-tree) for storing the pre-large and large items which are mined from the original database. When some transactions are deleted from the database, the proposed algorithm only processes them for maintaining large and pre-large item sets. Experimental results show that the proposed algorithm outperforms the pre-large tree maintenance algorithm.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Related works are reviewed in section 2. The Inc-Eclat algorithm is described in section 3. An example to illustrate the proposed algorithm is given in section 4. Experimental results that show the performance of the
proposed algorithm are provided in section 5. Finally, conclusions and future work are presented in section 6.

2. Related Works

2.1. Pre-large Concepts

The concept of pre-large item sets was proposed by Hong et al. [5]. It uses two thresholds, namely the upper threshold and the lower threshold, to set the pre-large item sets. The upper threshold is similar to min sup. The lower threshold defines the lowest support ratio for an itemset that is to be treated as pre-large. An itemset with a support ratio below the lower threshold is seen as small. Hong et al. [5] also proposed the pre-large-itemset algorithm. It is based on a safety number $f$ of inserted transactions to reduce the need for rescanning the original database for the efficient maintenance of the large item sets.

Considering an original database and some transactions that are to be deleted by the two support thresholds, Lin et al. [14] proposed the following formula for computing $f$ in the case of deletion of transactions:

$$f = \left( \frac{(S_o - S_l)d}{S_o} \right)$$

Where $S_o$ is the upper threshold, $S_l$ is the lower threshold and $d$ is the number of original transactions.

Cases 2, 3, 4, 7, and 8 do not affect the final large item sets according to the weighted average of the counts. Case 1 may remove the existing large item sets, and cases 5, 6 and 9 may add new large item sets. If all large and pre-large item sets with their counts are retained after each pass, then cases 1, 5 and 6 can be easily handled. It has been theoretically shown that an itemset in case 9 cannot possibly be large enough in the final updated database as long as the number of deleted transactions is smaller than the number $f$ [14]. A summary of the nine cases and their results are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases: Original-Deleted</th>
<th>Result: Pre-large or small, determined from existing information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1: Large-Large</td>
<td>Large or pre-large or small, determined from existing information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2: Large-Pre-Large</td>
<td>Always large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3: Large-Small</td>
<td>Always large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 4: Pre-Large-Large</td>
<td>Pre-large or small, determined from existing information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 5: Pre-Large-Pre-Large</td>
<td>Large or pre-large or small, determined from existing information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 6: Pre-Large-Small</td>
<td>Large or pre-large, determined from existing information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 7: Small-Large</td>
<td>Always small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 8: Small-Pre-Large</td>
<td>Always small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 9: Small-Small</td>
<td>Pre-large or small, determined from existing information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Maintenance of Fast Updated Frequent Pattern Trees for Transaction Deletion

Transaction deletion from databases is commonly seen in real-world applications. Some algorithms have been proposed such as Fast Updated Frequent Pattern tree (FUFP-tree) [7], pre-large tree [14]. Hong et al. [7] modified the FP-tree structure and designed a FUFP-tree for handling deleted transactions based on the FUP algorithm [3]. The FUFP-tree structure is similar to the FP-tree structure, with the difference being that the links between the parent nodes and their child nodes are bi-directional in the former. Bi-directional linking will help fasten the process of item deletion in the maintenance process. When transactions are deleted from the database, the FUFP-tree based approach will process them to maintain the FUFP-tree. It partitions items into four parts according to whether they are frequent or infrequent in the original database and in deleted transactions. Considering an original database and some transactions to be deleted, the following four cases may arise:

- **Case 1**: An item set is frequent both in an original database and in deleted transactions.
- **Case 2**: An item set is frequent in an original database but not frequent in deleted transactions.
- **Case 3**: An item set is not frequent in an original database but frequent in deleted transactions.
- **Case 4**: An item set is not frequent both in an original database and in deleted transactions.

Cases 2 and 3 will not affect the final frequent item sets. Item sets in case 1 are frequent in both the original database and deleted transactions. Thus, some existing frequent item sets may be removed after the database is updated. At last, item sets in case 4 are infrequent in both the original database and deleted transactions. Some frequent item sets may thus be added it however, requires the original database to be rescanned for rebuilding the FUFP-tree of the final updated database. After that, the FP-growth algorithm must be used to mine all FIs [4].

In order to reduce the need for rescanning the original database, Lin et al. [14] proposed a pre-large tree structure and designed an algorithm to rebuild the pre-large tree based on the concept of pre-large item sets. The pre-large tree is similar to the FUFP-tree. When some transactions are deleted from the database, the pre-large-tree-based approach will process them to maintain the pre-large tree. Unlike the FUFP-tree-based approach, it partitions items into nine cases according to whether they are large or pre-large or small in the original database and in deleted transactions. The summary of the nine cases and their results is given in Table 1. The algorithm does not require the original database to be rescanned until a number of deleted transactions have been processed. When some transactions are deleted from the database, some nodes are removed from or inserted into the pre-large tree. After that, the FP-growth algorithm is applied for the pre-large tree of the entire database to mine all frequent item sets. So, the pre-large-tree-based approach does not utilize item sets which have been mined from the original database.

As we known, IT-tree-based approach [22] is one of the famous approaches for mining FIs in static transaction databases. It is based on equivalence
classes, scans the database only once, uses the depth-first traversal technique to generate item sets and to compute the supports of the item sets fast by tidset intersections. This paper proposes an incremental algorithm for handling of deleted transactions based on the IT-tree structure and pre-large item sets. Like the pre-large-tree-based approach, when transactions are deleted from the database, the proposed approach will partition items into nine cases according to whether they are large or pre-large or small in the original database and in deleted transactions. The summary of the nine cases and their results is given in Table 1. Its main idea is to use the depth-first traversal technique to update the final supports of the item sets from their tidsets in deleted transactions. The supports of the item sets in deleted transactions are computed by tidset intersections. All FIs are mined using depth-first order traversal. The advantage of the IT-tree-based approach is to utilize item sets which have been mined from the original database. The proposed algorithm only processes deleted transactions for rebuilding the final IT-tree. Besides, the concept of pre-large item sets is used to reduce the need for rescanning the original database to save maintenance cost. The algorithm does not require the original database to be rescanned until many deleted transactions have been processed.

3. Proposed Algorithm

Notations used in the proposed algorithm are listed in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>The Original Database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>The Set of Deleted Transactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>The Final Database, i.e., D - T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>The Number of Transactions in D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>The Lower Support Threshold for Pre-Large Item Sets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ss</td>
<td>The Upper Support Threshold for Large Item Sets, Ss ≥ S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>An Item Set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>An IT-tree storing the Set of Pre-Large and Large Item Sets from D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>A set of Item Sets for which the Original Database must be Rescanned to Update their Final Support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(T^+(X))</td>
<td>Tidset of Item X in T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S^+(X))</td>
<td>The Support Count of X in D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S^+(X))</td>
<td>The Support Count of X in D, (S^+(X))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s(X))</td>
<td>The Support of X in (D), (s(X) = \sum \delta(X)/d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\sigma^+(X))</td>
<td>The Support of X in (D), (\sigma^+(X) = S^+(X)/d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m(X))</td>
<td>The Support of X in (D), (m(X) = S^+(X)/ad - 1 &lt; c)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1. Building an IT-tree from an Original Database

Given an original database and two support thresholds, the upper support threshold is similar to min sup, the lower threshold defines the lowest support ratio for an itemset that is to be treated as pre-large. An IT-tree, which stores all pre-large and large item sets, must be built in advance from the initially original database before some transactions are deleted. Its initial construction is stated as follows. The database is firstly transformed into the vertical data format in which each itemset has a corresponding tidset. Next, a set of all large and pre-large item sets with their tidsets is created at first level. After that, these nodes are combined to create nodes at higher level using depth-first order traversal. The downward-closure property [1] is also used to prune unpromising item sets. The tree is completely constructed when no new nodes are created.

3.2. Algorithm for Maintaining IT-tree

The proposed algorithm only processes deleted transactions for updating the final supports of item sets using the depth-first search technique. The decreasing supports of item sets are rapidly computed using tidset intersections. The algorithm uses the downward-closure property [1] to prune unpromising item sets while traversing the IT-tree. FIs can be directly derived from the tree traversal process. According to whether the number of deleted transactions exceeds the safety threshold \(f\), the proposed algorithm requires the original database to be rescanned.

If the number of deleted transactions is less than \(f\), the UP-TID procedure for updating the IT-tree is performed. The main idea of this procedure is to maintain the large and pre-large item sets stored in the IT-tree using depth-first order traversal. Firstly, a set of item sets at level 1 in \(Tr\) with their tidsets is determined from deleted transactions. Next, the final support counts of them are updated. Item sets at level 1 in \(Tr\) that does not satisfy \(Ss\) are removed from \(Tr\). After \(L\) and \(R\) are determined, the procedure UP-TID-EXTEND extends the nodes in \(L\) to one more level by combining the nodes following them. With each pair \((X, Y)\), this procedure will compute the intersection of \(T^+(X)\) and \(T^+(Y)\). If itemset \(XY\) exists in \(Tr\) then the final support count is updated. Otherwise, if \(XY\) is small in \(T\) then itemset \(XY\) is inserted into \(R\). After \(L\) is created, the procedure UP-TID-EXTEND will be called recursively to update the support counts of all child nodes of the nodes in \(L\). When the deleted transactions are processed, other transactions can be deleted continuously. If the number total of deleted transactions does not exceed \(f\), the UP-TID procedure is called and all processes are the same as above.

Assume after that some transactions need to be deleted, if the number total of deleted transactions (including newest deleted transactions) exceeds \(f\), the algorithm must rescans the original database to determine whether the item sets in \(R\) are large or pre-large in the final updated database. The large or pre-large item sets in \(R\) are inserted into \(Tr\). A new safety threshold \(f\) is then computed. Algorithm 1 will compare the number of newest deleted transactions and \(f\). All processes are then repeated as above.

**Algorithm 1:** Maintaining IT-tree.

# Procedure Inc-IT(Tr, D, T, Ss, Sf, R)
# Ss is a lower support threshold,
# Sf is an upper threshold,
# R is a set of item sets
# Tr is an IT-tree that stores large and pre-large item sets derived from the original database D consists of (d+c) #transactions with c...
is a variable which is used to record \# the number of deleted transactions since the last \#rescan of the original database with d transactions.

\[ f = \frac{(S_x - S_x d)}{S_u} \]

If \( t + c < f \)

#processes deleted transactions for updating Tr

\( UP\cdot TID(Tr, D, T, S_i, S_\alpha, R) \)

set \( c = c + t \)

Else

#rescans d transactions to determine whether

{item sets in R are large or pre-large in U}

\( L \cdot item set = rescan \)

\( Tr = Tr \cdot A \cdot item set \)

set \( R = R \cdot d; c = 0 \)

\( Inc \cdot IT(Tr, D, T, S_i, S_\alpha, R) \)

If \( t + c < f \)

#processes deleted transactions for updating Tr

\( UP\cdot TID(Tr, D, T, S_i, S_\alpha, R) \)

As the number of deleted transactions is not limited, a variable \( c \) is used to store it since the last rescan of the original database with d transactions. The details of the algorithm are shown in Figure 1.
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4. Example

In this section, an example is given to illustrate the proposed incremental algorithm. Assume that the initial \( D \) database includes 10 transactions shown in Table 3. For \( S_\alpha = 30\% \) and \( S_\alpha = 50\% \), an IT-tree that are constructed from \( D \) are shown in Figure 2. In fact, the tidsets of the item sets, which are mined from the original database, are not retained as the algorithm does not consider them in the maintenance process.

Table 3. An example of an original database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Database</th>
<th>Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ABDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BCDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ABC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>BDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ABCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>ABCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>CEF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 2. IT-tree Tr after nodes at level 1 have been processed.](image)

Assume that the last two transactions (with TIDs 9 and 10, respectively) are deleted from the original database. Table 4 shows the vertical layout of two deleted transactions.

Table 4. Vertical format of the two deleted transactions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Tidset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>9, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the above data, the proposed maintenance algorithm proceeds as follows. The variable \( c \) is initially set at 0 and the safety \( f \) threshold is computed:

\[ f = \frac{(S_x - S_x d)}{S_u} = \frac{0.5 - 0.310}{0.5} = 4 \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

As \( t+c=2+0=2 \leq f \), rescanning the original database is unnecessary, the UP-TID procedure is called. The final support counts of item sets at level 1 in \( Tr \) will be updated based on their tidsets in \( T \).

In this example, \( L = \{A, B, C, D, E\} \) and \( R = \emptyset \). Take item set \( \{A\} \) as an example, \( \{T \cdot \{A\}\} = 1 \), the support count of \( \{A\} \) in \( T \) is thus 1. As \( \sigma_x(A) = \sigma_x(A) = (7-1)/(10-2) = 0.3 \), \( \{A\} \) is inserted into \( L \). Item sets \( \{B\}, \{C\}, \{D\}, \{E\} \) are similarly processed. For item set \( \{F\} \), \( \{T \cdot \{F\}\} = 1 \), the support counts of \( \{F\} \) in \( T \) is thus 1. As \( \{F\} \) is small in \( D \) and \( \sigma_x(F) = 1/2 \geq 0.3 \), \( \{F\} \) is removed from \( Tr \). The results are shown in Figure 3.

After \( L \) and \( R \) are determined, the UP-TID-EXTEND is called. With \( L = \{A, B, C, D, E\} \), this procedure creates nodes at higher level, it joins each child node with all its following nodes. For example, consider node \( A \) at level 1 of the IT-tree in Figure 3. It will be combined with the other nodes as follow:
After that, the procedure UP-TID-EXTEND is repeated recursively with $L = \{AB, AC, AE\}$ and the final support counts of corresponding nodes will be updated. This process will be repeated recursively to update the final support counts of all nodes in $Tr$. The results are shown in Figure 4 and the final $Tr$ are shown in Figure 5.

When the Inc-IT algorithm finishes, $R = \emptyset$. Besides, the final value of $c$ is 2 in this example and $f-c=2$. This implies that two more transactions can be deleted without rescanning the original database.

## 5. Experimental Results

Experiments were conducted to show the performance of the proposed algorithm. All the algorithms were implemented on a PC with a Core 2 Duo (2x2GHz) CPU and 2GBs of RAM running Windows 7. All the algorithms were coded in C++. Two databases from http://fimi.cs.helsinki.fi/data/ were used for the experiments. The T40I10D100K (with 100000 transactions) and kosarak (with 99002 transactions) databases were used.

Experiments were made to compare execution times for mining all FIs using the pre-large-tree-based algorithm [14] and the proposed algorithm when a number of transactions were deleted from the database. The T40I10D100K database was used for the first experiment. A total of 100000 transactions were used to construct an initial IT-tree and pre-large tree. Sets of 2000 transactions were then sequentially used as the deleted transactions for the experiment. The upper and the lower support thresholds were set at 2% and 5%, respectively. Figure 6 shows execution times of the two algorithms for processing each set of 2000 deleted transactions. For the experiment with incremental threshold values, the lower support threshold was set from 2% to 6% (in 1% increments) and the upper support threshold was set from 5% to 9% (in 1% increments). Sets of 2000 transactions were used as the deleted transactions for the experiment. Figure 7 shows a comparison of execution times for various threshold values. It can be seen that the proposed maintenance algorithm runs faster than the pre-large-tree-based algorithm.
Next, the kosarak database was used for the experiments. A total of 990002 transactions were used to build an initial IT-tree and pre-large tree. Sets of 2000 transactions were sequentially used as deleted transactions for the experiment. The upper and the lower support thresholds were set to 0.5% and 1%, respectively. Figure 8 shows execution times of the two algorithms for processing each set of 2000 deleted transactions. For the experiment with incremental threshold values, the lower support threshold was set from 0.5% to 2.5% (in 1% increments) and the upper support threshold was set from 1% to 3% (in 1% increments). Sets of 2000 transactions were used as the deleted transactions for the experiment. Figure 9 shows a comparison of execution times for various threshold values. It can be observed that the proposed maintenance algorithm also runs faster than the pre-large-tree-based algorithm.

![Comparison of execution times](image)

**Figure 8.** Comparison of execution times for various threshold values (kosarak database).

6. Conclusions and Future Work

This paper proposed an approach based on the concept of pre-large item sets for the maintenance of an IT-tree during transaction deletion. The proposed algorithm uses the IT-tree structure to facilitate tree traversal and the updating of itemset supports. Instead of batch mining, the proposed algorithm only concentrates on processing deleted transactions. The incremental supports of candidate item sets can be rapidly computed using tidset intersections. Besides, pre-large item sets are used to reduce the number of database scans. User-specified upper and lower support thresholds are used to avoid the small items directly becoming large in the updated database when transactions are deleted. All the tasks are processed using the IT-tree structure. With these strategies, the execution time of the proposed approach is lower than the pre-large-tree-based algorithm.

The proposed algorithm belongs to calculations of tidset intersections. Dynamic Bit Vectors (DBVs) [21] is an efficient data structure for mining FIs. DBVs can be used to compress a database in one scan and shorten the length of the tidset, speeding up the tidset intersections process. In the future, we will apply DBVs structure for handling of deleted transactions.
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